

In **Chapter 5** we start on magnetic fields.<sup>1</sup> Griffiths begins the chapter with moving electric charges (why not magnets?) and the experimentally derived magnetostatic equations. The chapter then develops the key concepts of the Lorentz force, the Biot-Savart and Ampère laws, the vector potential and boundary conditions.

This material is much richer than the “more linear” electrostatics. Have fun!

**Problems:**

- (1) 4.13
- (2) 4.18 Use Gauss’s law for the electric displacement to get started.
- (3) 4.28 Use energy
- (4) 5.2 Charged particle trajectories
- (5) 5.4 Finding the magnetic force on a loop via “ $I\ell \times B$ ”.
- (6) 5.6 Finding current densities
- (7) 5.9 Use superposition
- (8) 5.11 Putting the Biot-Savart integration to practice
- (9) In the distant past you may have completed a magnetic field mapping lab. Removing the shrouds of the ancient past... you find data on the field as a function of distance away from the solenoid (length  $L = 16.5 \pm .03$  cm and diameter  $d = 1.5 \pm 0.2$  cm). See the supplied spreadsheet.
  - (a) By using the result from the last problem, find the expression for the magnetic field in terms of the quantities you measured and the size of the solenoid,  $L$  and  $d$  (or  $a$  in David’s diagram).
  - (b) Using the values given, plot your theoretical expression. One way to do this is to enter your result into excel and plot the prediction as a new series on the same plot at your data. Include plenty of points so it is easy to see the shape of the prediction.
  - (c) Does your theoretical result match your data? Submit a printout of your plot.
- (10) 5.14 Ampère’s law for a cylinder
- (11) 5.22 Modifying Maxwell to include monopoles

**Notes on text:**

- page 212 The key equation for the motion of charged particles. The examples on the next couple of pages are classic.
- page 223 Griffiths loses a great opportunity to get his “static/stationary” definitions precise. Let’s fix this problem. The physics behind the full mathematical definition is this: A **stationary** system is time independent (when you know the solution at one time, you know it for all time). A **static** system is stationary *and* is invariant under time reversal ( $t$  goes to  $-t$ ). With these definitions “stationary current” doesn’t sound so strange at least to some ears. Can you think of a system which is stationary but not static?
- page 224, where did this monster, Eq. (5.32), come from? On the pronunciation on the name, think French.
- page 232 The familiar and important Ampère’s law is derived from Biot-Savart.
- pages 236-7 Locally, the current sheet used in the solenoid of Example 5.9 is identical to the current sheet of Example 5.8. Why aren’t the fields equivalent, i.e. Eq. (5.58) and Eq. (5.59)?
- page 243 The vector potential! A step closer to reality or a step away?

---

<sup>1</sup>If we were working through Maxwell’s treatise on electrodynamics he would have us study electrostatics, magnetostatics, and electrodynamics *simultaneously*.

- page 251 Boundary conditions for  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$ !
- page 252 Multipoles for  $\mathbf{A}$
- page 253 The magnetic dipole moment is introduced. Do you buy the argument? Study Eq. (1.108).

**Other Curiosities:**

- The Levitron! Discuss Earnshaw's theorem for magnetic fields, demonstrate the toy, and give a full discussion of the physics behind it.
- The Biot-Savart law from pronunciation to Ampère. It is also a bit embarrassing that the interaction between two current elements does not obey Newton's Third Law (see 5.49). (or is it?) References: Lyness *Contemp. Phys.* **4** (1963) 453. Peach and Shirely *Am. J. Phys.* **50** (1982) 410. Whitney *Am. J. Phys.* **56** (1988) 871.
- The vector potentials: Do they have physical meaning? Read the article *Am. J. Phys.* **64** (1996) 1361
- Present 5.41 and the Quantum Hall Effect (what was the discovery, why did it result in a Nobel Prize).
- Work out 5.58 and tell us more about the "finest achievement of QED."